Today’s workers increasingly offer their services through gig economy platforms, digital platforms that match workers to customers on a per-service (‘gig’) and on-demand basis. Though rapidly growing, the share of workers that derive the main source of their income from work intermediated through digital platforms – known as primary workers – is still relatively small, accounting for about 3% (8 million people)\(^1\) and 1.5% (7 million people)\(^2\) of the adult population in the U.S. and EU, respectively. Digital labour platforms in these regions generate total revenues estimated at USD 35 billion and USD 15 billion, respectively,\(^3\) and total, combined gig worker income amounts to about USD 200 billion after commission, assuming a rate of 20%.

Digital technology and demographic shifts fuel the growth of this new form of work. According to the UN, the Millennial Generation (born between 1981 and 1996) and Generation Z (born after 1997) now account for the majority of the global population. Having experienced two major global recessions, younger Millennials and working Gen Z-ers are increasingly aware of the fact that traditional employment does not necessarily provide the long-term benefits and security that previous generations enjoyed. These younger workers may therefore place more emphasis on other objectives such as flexibility and purpose. This shift is an important driver behind the rise of gig work.

**Protection gaps associated with platform work**

Work intermediated through digital labour platforms offers major benefits to both workers and their customers. However, social protection coverage of gig workers is low. They frequently do not meet eligibility requirements for statutory access to benefits schemes for the self-employed due to insufficient contribution periods, and in many countries, self-employed workers are not covered at all by social insurance systems, or only on a voluntary and partial basis.

From a gig worker’s perspective, protection gaps in case of a calamity present themselves as the difference between needed resources (covering unexpected additional expenses or foregone income, for example) and available resources (e.g. savings and insurance coverage). There is broad consensus that income replacement in the event of illness and disability is the most acute protection gap facing platform workers. With relatively low and irregular income, they are also exposed to financial stress arising from (unexpected) medical expenses. This problem is particularly serious in countries where access to health insurance is tied to salaried employment. Low and irregular levels of income also make it challenging for gig workers to accumulate retirement savings, and as pension systems in many countries are based on formal, regular employment structures they do not adequately capture the increasing number of workers who fall outside of these arrangements. Lastly, many gig work do not have adequate protection for their assets in place, be it their ‘means of production’, i.e. their work equipment, or general and professional liability exposure.

Based on this, we suggest a four-pronged typology of gig worker protection gaps pertaining to income, health, retirement and assets (see Figure 1).
A global ILO survey of 20,000 platform workers in 100 countries found that only 60% of all gig workers are covered by health insurance and as few as 35% have a (private or public) pension or retirement plan (Figure 2). Even more precarious are protection levels for work injuries and disability, with just 21% and 13%, respectively, of surveyed workers covered. For around a third of respondents, gig work complements earnings from a job as a salaried employee outside of the platform economy – in most cases, their social protection coverage almost exclusively derives from their ‘traditional’ job. In general, when contingencies are not related to a specific job, protection for gig workers is more easily available. For example, social assistance schemes are typically funded through general tax revenue, and entitlement rules are needs-based.

Source: Adapted from Berg et al., based on ILO survey of crowdworkers, 2017
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**Figure 1: A simple typology of gig worker protection gaps**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Retirement</th>
<th>Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sickness</td>
<td>• Medical expenses</td>
<td>• Accumulation of savings</td>
<td>• ‘Means of production’ (home, car, equipment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Disability</td>
<td>• Medical expenses</td>
<td>• Decumulation of savings (longevity risks)</td>
<td>• General liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Work accident</td>
<td>• Medical expenses</td>
<td>• Medical expenses</td>
<td>• Professional liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Premature death</td>
<td>• Medical expenses</td>
<td>• ‘Means of production’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Underemployment</td>
<td>• Medical expenses</td>
<td>• ‘Means of production’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Geneva Association

---

**Figure 2: Gig workers’ access to various forms of social security benefits, by source of income (percentage covered; global survey data)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Retirement</th>
<th>Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational accident</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension / Retirement</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>65.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Berg et al., based on ILO survey of crowdworkers, 2017
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4 Ibid.
5 Berg et al. 2018.
6 Twenty-nine percent of respondents to the ILO survey reported receiving some form of government assistance.
**Insurance solutions**

These massive coverage gaps present society with the challenge of ‘organising’ protection for gig workers. Non-contributory, *tax-financed social protection* mechanisms are considered essential to providing at least a basic level of protection for all residents of a country, including those who are not (sufficiently) covered by contributory social insurance schemes. Social protection is extended independently of employment status. Enlarging risk pools through *social insurance* is another way for governments to protect their citizens from hazards that can prove financially ruinous. However, social insurance coverage of platform workers who are classified as self-employed is often limited. Though *private insurance* is not designed to mitigate the hardship encountered by low-income gig workers or other segments of the population through wealth transfers, it has an important role to play in complementing public schemes. For example, as social insurance generally treats participants similarly in terms of pricing and benefits, such schemes do little in terms of promoting incentives for risk mitigation – a major and highly relevant benefit for society offered by risk-based private insurance mechanisms.

Meeting the needs of gig workers requires traditional insurers to innovate across key links of their value chain, for example by embedding marketing activities into platform apps, designing flexible, on-demand coverage that can be activated and deactivated, and automating sales and claims processes (see Figure 3).
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**Figure 3: Insurance value chain innovation for gig-based work**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marketing</th>
<th>Product development</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Underwriting</th>
<th>Claims management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Embed customer research, segmentation, engagement and advertising into platform apps</td>
<td>• On demand, pay as you go</td>
<td>• Automate sales process (online, mobile)</td>
<td>• Maximise risk pools</td>
<td>• Straight-through mobile processing for basic claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Leverage APIs</td>
<td>• Flexible activation and deactivation</td>
<td>• Sell through platform apps</td>
<td>• Special focus on younger, inexperienced workers</td>
<td>• Accelerate time-to-resolution through AI and Robotic Process Automation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Customised to heterogeneous risk profiles</td>
<td>• Integrate insurance into the sign-up process for workers</td>
<td>• Take into account increasing experience and risk awareness of gig workers</td>
<td>• Harness AI and social media for fraud detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Digital connectivity throughout product experience</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Leverage ubiquity of smart phone-based real-time data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Geneva Association
Underwriting challenges and opportunities

From an underwriting perspective, many gig workers, especially physical labourers, have a challenging risk profile. The absence of regulatory frameworks means there are major concerns about worker health, safety and well-being. Further, the gig economy tends to attract younger workers with little work history and experience with occupational safety hazards. This inexperience, combined with high-risk gigs such as passenger transportation and freight delivery services, makes these workers more prone to on-the-job injuries. Finally, income from gig work is neither stable nor reliable, defying key assumptions behind traditional underwriting. An offsetting factor, however, is the ubiquity of smart-phone-based and real-time data from both platforms and individual gig workers. In combination with online transacting, this can facilitate risk assessment and pricing and generate highly accurate custom quotes.

Assuming that primary gig workers account for about 50% of the total income generated from gig work and have the capacity to spend 10% of their income on private insurance, the gig platform insurance market in the U.S. and EU would amount to an estimated combined annual premium volume of approximately USD 10 billion.

Recommendations

The pandemic has contributed to exposing both the vulnerability and indispensability of many gig workers, and stakeholders should take action to mitigate their risk exposures. The Geneva Association offers the following recommendations:

- **Governments** should remove disincentives for platforms to offer group benefits to gig workers and encourage the portability of benefits.
- **Insurers** should harness the heightened post-pandemic risk awareness of gig workers and explore innovation across their value chain.
- **Platforms** should leverage group benefit programmes to retain workers and meet societal expectations and promote auto-enrollment into protection plans, with the opportunity to opt out.

In summary, governments, platforms and private insurers, in collaboration with gig workers and their associations, need to redesign protection frameworks to ensure that all forms of modern work are secure and sustainable.

References


Swiss Re. 2020. **Data-driven Insurance – Ready for the next frontier?** [https://www.swissre.com/dam/jcr:430c9b00-09ee-448f-8307-0ca484a2ad96/sigma1_2020_en.pdf](https://www.swissre.com/dam/jcr:430c9b00-09ee-448f-8307-0ca484a2ad96/sigma1_2020_en.pdf)

---

8 OHS 2019.
9 The difficulty of proving their regular current and future income makes it challenging for gig workers to access traditional income protection products, which provide coverage against a financial loss if a person finds themself unable to work for health or disability reasons. CII 2021.
10 AIG 2017.
11 Swiss Re 2020.